



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/821,427

04/09/2004

Deepak Jain

DEE-2001-CP

1225

53971

7590

08/24/2009

BioTechnology Law Group  
12707 High Bluff Drive  
Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92130-2037

EXAMINER

GHALI, ISIS A D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1611

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/24/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING@BIOTECHNOLOGYLAWGROUP.COM

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/821,427	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JAIN, DEEPAK	
	<b>Examiner</b> Isis A. Ghali	<b>Art Unit</b> 1611	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Isis A. Ghali. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel Chambers. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Two telephone conversations with Mr. Chambers were conducted regarding if a response has been filed. Each time Mr. Chambers indicated that he is going to check the status of the application and get back to the examiner. However, Mr. Chambers never did.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

--	--